

Los Angeles, CA 90025

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/699,198 | 10/27/2000 | Suhail Nanil | 004906.P003 6605 | |
| 75 | 90 07/19/2004 | | EXAM | NER |
| Daniel M DeVos | | | SAM, PHIRIN | |
| Blakely Sokolo | ff Taylor& Zafman LLP | | | |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 7th Floor | | | 2661 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|---------|
| | 09/699,198 | NANIL, SUHAIL | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phirin Sam | 2661 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 C</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, | • | is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) | wn from consideration. illowed. <u>and 45-47</u> is/are rejected. bjected to. | | |
| | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | ted to by the Evenines | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2000</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | *** | i i | (d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | - · · · | | (· / · |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | cation No eived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198

Art Unit: 2661

3. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Loehndorf, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent 6,094,437).

Loehndorf, Jr. et al discloses the invention (claims 31 and 32) as claimed including an apparatus comprising:

- (a) a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) access concentrator (LAC) to transmit an Ethernet frame over an L2TP tunnel (see Fig. 3, element 31, col. 9, lines 43-53).
- (b) an layer 2 tunneling protocol network server (LNS) to receive the Ethernet frame from the L2TP tunnel originating at the LAC (see Fig. 3, element 33, col. 9, lines 43-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-12, 14-17, 22, 23, 26, 41, 42, and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Loehndorf, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent 6,094,437) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Patent 6,529,479).

Regarding claims 1-12, 14-17, 22, 23, 26, 33, 35, 41, 42, and 45-47, Loehndorf, Jr. et al disclose a machine readable medium that provides instructions, which when executed by a set of processors, cause the set of processors to perform operations comprising:

- (a) receiving a frame (see Fig. 3, element 31, col. 9, lines 43-53).
- (b) transmitting the frame over a non-homogenous tunnel, the tunnel distinguishing subscriber traffic (see Fig. 3, element 31, col. 9, lines 43-53).

Art Unit: 2661

Loehndorf, Jr. et al does not disclose an Ethernet frame. However, Suzuki discloses the Ethernet frame (see Figs. 3 and 4, col. 6, lines 16-67, col. 7, lines 1-15). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the Ethernet frame teaching by Suzuki with Loehndorf, Jr. et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to place the physical address of either devices in the header to prevent the frame collision occur read on col. 7, lines 10-14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Suzuki and Loehndorf, Jr. et al to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 1-12, 14-17, 22, 23, 26, 33, 35, 41, 42, and 45-47.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 19-21, 36-40, 48050 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 13, 18, 24, 25, 27, 34, 43, and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) Borella et al (U.S. Patent 6,732,314) discloses method and apparatus for L2TP forward error correction.
- (2) Mauger (U.S. Patent 6,522,627) discloses managing internet protocol connection oriented services.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (703) 308 9294.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198

Art Unit: 2661

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Douglas W. Olms can be reached at (703) 305 - 4703. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217 - 9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Page 5

Date: July 10, 2004

Phirin Sam

Patent Primary Examiner